arXiv:math/0202218v1 [math.CO] 21 Feb 2002

THE CENTRALIZER OF TWO NUMBERS UNDER THE NATURAL ACTION OF S_k ON $[k]$, THE MAXIMAL PARABOLIC SUBGROUP OF S_k, AND GENERALIZED PATTERNS

T. MANSOUR

LaBRI (UMR 5800), Université Bordeaux 1, 351 cours de la Libération
33405 Talence Cedex, France

`toufik@labri.fr`

Abstract

A natural generalization of single pattern avoidance is *subset avoidance*. A complete study of subset avoidance for the case $k = 3$ is carried out in [SS]. For $k > 3$ situation becomes more complicated, as the number of possible cases grows rapidly. Recently, several authors have considered the case of general k when T has some nice algebraic properties. Barcucci, Del Lungo, Pergola, and Pinzani in [BDPP] treated the case when $T = T_1$ is the centralizer of $k-1$ and k under the natural action of S_k on $[k]$. Mansour and Vainshtein in [MV] treated the case when $T = T_2$ is maximal parabolic group of S_k.

Recently, Babson and Steingrimsson (see [BS]) introduced generalized permutations patterns that allow the requirement that two adjacent letters in a pattern must be adjacent in the permutation.

In this paper we present an analogue with generalization for the case T_1 and for the case T_2 by using generalized patterns instead of classical patterns.

1. Introduction

Classical patterns. Let $[p] = \{1, \dots, p\}$ denote a totally ordered alphabet on p letters, and let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in [p_1]^m$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \in [p_2]^m$. We say that α is *order-isomorphic* to β if for all $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant m$ one has $\alpha_i < \alpha_j$ if and only if $\beta_i < \beta_j$. For two permutations $\pi \in S_n$ and $\tau \in S_k$, an *occurrence* of τ in π is a subsequence $1 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leqslant n$ such that $(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_k})$ is order-isomorphic to τ; in such a context τ is usually called the *pattern*. We say that π *avoids* τ, or is τ-*avoiding*, if there is no occurrence of τ in π. Pattern avoidance proved to be a useful language in a variety of seemingly unrelated problems, from stack sorting [Kn, Ch. 2.2.1] to singularities of Schubert varieties [LS]. A natural generalization of single pattern avoidance is *subset avoidance*; that is, we say that $\pi \in S_n$ avoids a subset $T \subset S_k$ if π avoids any $\tau \in T$. A complete study of subset avoidance for the case $k = 3$ is carried out in [SS]. For $k > 3$ situation becomes more complicated, as the number of possible cases grows rapidly. Recently, several authors have considered the case of general k when T has some nice algebraic properties.

Adin and Roichman in [AR] treated the case when T is a Kazhdan–Lusztig cell of S_k, or, equivalently, the Knuth equivalence class (see [St, vol. 2, Ch. A1]).

Barcucci, Del Lungo, Pergola, and Pinzani in [BDPP] treated the case when T is the centralizer of $k-1$ and k under the natural action of S_k on $[k]$.

Theorem 1.1. (Barcucci, Del Lungo, Pergola, and Pinzani [BDPP]) *Let T be the centralizer of $k-1$ and k under the natural action of S_k on $[k]$. Then the ordinary generating function for the number of T-avoiding permutations in S_n is given by*

$$(k-3)!x^{k-4}\frac{1-(k-1)x-\sqrt{1-2(k-1)x+(k-3)^2x^2}}{2}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-3}i!x^i.$$

In 2000, Kremer [Kr] presented a generalization for the above theorem, but later Mansour [M2, Section 2.3.3] found a counterexample to the main result in [Kr].

Recall that the *Laguerre polynomial* $L_n^\alpha(x)$ is given by

$$L_n^\alpha(x)=\frac{1}{n!}e^x x^{-\alpha}\frac{d^n}{dx^n}\left(e^{-x}x^{n+\alpha}\right),$$

and the *rook polynomial* of the rectangular $s\times t$ board is given by

$$R_{s,t}(x)=s!x^sL_s^{t-s}(-x^{-1})$$

for $s\leqslant t$ and by $R_{s,t}(x)=R_{t,s}(x)$ otherwise (see [R, Ch. 7.4]). In 2000, Mansour and Vainshtein [MV] treated the case when we T is a maximal parabolic subgroup of S_k.

Theorem 1.2. (Mansour and Vainshtein [MV]) *Let $1\leq a\leq m+1$, $\lambda=\min\{l,m\}$, $\mu=\max\{l,m\}$, and let ${P'}_{l,m}=\{\sigma\in S_{l+m}|a\leq\sigma_j\leq a+l-1,\ j=1,2,\dots,l\}$, then*

$$F^a_{l,m}(x)R_{l,m}(-x)=\sum_{r=0}^{\lambda-1}x^r r!\sum_{j=0}^{r}(-1)^j\frac{\binom{l}{j}\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{r}{j}}+(-1)^\lambda x^\lambda\lambda!\sum_{r=0}^{\mu-\lambda-1}x^r r!\binom{\mu-r-1}{\lambda},$$

or, equivalently,

$$F^a_{l,m}(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{k-1}x^r r!-\frac{(-1)^\lambda x^\mu}{\lambda!L_\lambda^{\mu-\lambda}(x^{-1})}\sum_{r=0}^{\lambda-1}(k+r)!x^r\sum_{j=r+1}^{\lambda}(-1)^j\frac{\binom{l}{j}\binom{m}{j}}{\binom{k+r}{j}},$$

where $k=l+m=\lambda+\mu$, $F^a_{l,m}(x)$ is the ordinary generating function for the number of ${P'}_{l,m}$-avoiding permutations in S_n.

Generalized patterns. In [BS] Babson and Steingrímsson introduced generalized permutation patterns that allow the requirement that two adjacent letters in a pattern must be adjacent in the permutation. For example, (generalized) patterns are 123-4 and 12-34. An occurrence of 123-4 in a permutation π is a subword $\pi_i\pi_{i+1}\pi_{i+2}\pi_j$ of π such that $\pi_i<\pi_{i+1}<\pi_{i+2}<\pi_j$, and an occurrence of 12-34 is a subword $\pi_i\pi_{i+1}\pi_j\pi_{j+1}$ of π such that $\pi_i<\pi_{i+1}<\pi_j<\pi_{j+1}$.

Claesson in [C] presented a complete solution for the number of permutations avoiding any single (generalized) pattern of length three with exactly one adjacent pair of letters (we do not regard a dash as being a letter) as follows.

Proposition 1.3. (Claesson [C]) *For all $n\geq 0$*

$$|S_n(\sigma)|=\begin{cases}B_n & \text{if } \sigma\in\{1\text{-}23,3\text{-}21,12\text{-}3,32\text{-}1,1\text{-}32,3\text{-}12,21\text{-}3,23\text{-}1\},\\ C_n & \text{if } \sigma\in\{2\text{-}13,2\text{-}31,13\text{-}2,31\text{-}2\},\end{cases}$$

where B_n and C_n are the nth Bell and Catalan numbers, respectively.

In addition, Claesson in [C] gave certain results for the number of permutations avoiding a pair generalized patterns of three letters.

Proposition 1.4. (Claesson [C]) *For all* $n \geq 0$

$$S_n(1\text{-}23,\ 12\text{-}3) = B_n^*, \quad S_n(1\text{-}23,\ 1\text{-}32) = I_n, \quad \textit{and} \quad S_n(1\text{-}23,\ 13\text{-}2) = M_n,$$

where B_n^* *is the nth Bessel number (# non-overlapping partitions of* $[n]$ *(see* [F]*)),* I_n *is the number of involutions in* S_n*, and* M_n *is the nth Motzkin number.*

Later, Claesson and Mansour [CM1] gave the complete answer for the number permutations avoiding a pair patterns of the form *ab*-*c* or *a*-*bc* where $abc \in S_3$.

In this paper we present an analogue for Theorem 1.1 and for Theorem 1.2 by using generalized patterns instead of classical patterns as following.

Let $1 \leq a < a+l \leq k$ and let us denote by $C_{a,l}^k$ the set of all generalized patterns $\sigma_1\sigma_2$-$\sigma3$-$\dots$-σ_k such that $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in S_k$, $\sigma_1 = a$, and $\sigma_2 = a+l$. Clearly, the set $C_{a,l}^k$ contains $(k-2)!$ generalized patterns ($C_{a,l}^k$ is an analogue for the case when T is the centralizer of a and $a+l$ under the natural action of S_k on $[k]$; that is, $T = \{\pi \in S_k | \pi_1 = a,\ \pi_2 = a+l\}$). For example, $C_{1,2}^4 = \{13\text{-}2\text{-}4, 13\text{-}4\text{-}2\}$. In the present paper we find the number of $C_{a,l}^k$-avoiding permutations in S_n (see Section 2).

Let $P_{a,l}^k$ be all the generalized patterns of the form $\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_l$-σ_{l+1}-$\dots$-σ_k such that $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l)$ is a permutation of the numbers $a, \dots, a+l-1$ and $(\sigma_{l+1}, \dots, \sigma_k)$ is a permutation of the numbers $a+l, \dots, k, 1, 2, \dots, a-1$. Clearly, $P_{a,l}^k$ contains $l! \cdot (k-l)!$ generalized patterns ($P_{1,l}^k$ is an analogue for the case when T is a maximal parabolic subgroup of S_k; that is, $T = \{\pi \in S_k | (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_l) \in S_l\}$). For example, $P_{2,2}^4$ contains 4 generalized patterns which are 23-1-4, 23-4-1, 32-1-4, and 32-4-1. In the present paper we find the number of $P_{a,l}^k$-avoiding permutations in S_n (see Section 3).

2. $C_{a,l}^k$-AVOIDING

Let $c_{a,l}^k(n)$ be the number of $C_{a,l}^k$-avoiding permutations in S_n. Our present aim is to count this number, since that we introduce another notation. We denote by $c_{a,l}^k(n; i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j)$ the number of $C_{a,l}^k$-avoiding permutations $\pi \in S_n$ such that $\pi_1\pi_2 \dots \pi_j = i_1 i_2 \dots i_j$. Now we introduce the two quantities that play the crucial role in the proof of the main theorem in this section.

Lemma 2.1. *For all* $n \geq k$,

$$c_{a,l}^k(n) = (k-1)c_{a,l}^k(n-1) + \sum_{j=a}^{n-k+a} c_{a,l}^k(n; j).$$

Proof. Let $\pi \in S_n$ where $\pi_1 = i$, and let $n \geq j \geq n-k+a+1$ or $1 \leq j \leq a-1$. By definitions it is easy to see that every occurrence of $\tau \in C_{a,l}^k$ in π not contains π_1, so $c_{a,l}^k(n; j) = c_{a,l}^k(n-1)$. On the other hand, by definitions $c_{a,l}^k(n) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{a,l}^k(n; j)$, hence the lemma holds. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $n \geq k$, and $a \leq j \leq n-k+a$. Then*

$$c_{a,l}^k(n;j) = (k-l-1)c_{a,l}^k(n-2) + \sum_{i=a}^{j+l-2} c_{a,l}^k(n-1;i).$$

Proof. Let $a \leq j \leq n-k+a$; by definitions

$$c_{a,l}^k(n;j) = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} c_{a,l}^k(n;j,i) + \sum_{i=j+1}^{n} c_{a,l}^k(n;j,i), \qquad (*)$$

and let us consider the possible values of $c_{a,l}^k(n;j,i)$:

(i) Let $1 \leq i \leq a-1$; since all the generalized patterns in $C_{a,l}^k$ started by the segment $a(a+l)$ we get

$$c_{a,l}^k(n;j,i) = c_{a,l}^k(n-2);$$

(ii) Let $a \leq i \leq j-1$; similarly as (i) with $a < a+l$ we have

$$c_{a,l}^k(n;j,i) = c_{a,l}^k(n-1;i);$$

(iii) Let $j+1 \leq i \leq j+l-1$; similarly as (i) we obtain

$$c_{a,l}^k(n;j,i) = c_{a,l}^k(n-1;i-1);$$

(iv) Let $j+l \leq i \leq n-k+a+l$; so there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2}$ positions such that $(j, i, \pi_{a_1}, \ldots, \pi_{a_{k-2}})$ is order-isomorphic to $\tau \in C_{a,l}^k$; hence

$$c_{a,l}^k(n;j,i) = 0;$$

(v) Let $n-k+a+l+1 \leq j \leq n$; similarly as (i) with $a < a+l$ we get

$$c_{a,l}^k(n;j,i) = c_{a,l}^k(n-2).$$

Hence, if summing $c_{a,l}^k(n;j,i)$ over all i with using $(*)$ we get the desired result. □

Now we ready to obtain the main quantity that plays the crucial role in the proof of the main theorem in this section.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $n \geq k$, and $1 \leq i \leq n-k+1$. Then*

$$c_{a,l}^k(n; n-k+a+1-i) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (i-1)/l \rfloor + 1} (-1)^j \binom{i-(j-1)(l-1)}{j} c_{a,l}^k(n-1-j).$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.1 for $i=1$ we get

$$c_{a,l}^k(n; n-k+a) = (k-l-1)c_{a,l}^k(n-2) + \sum_{j=a}^{n-k+b-2} c_{a,l}^k(n-1;j).$$

Using Lemma 2.2 with $c_{a,l}^k(n-1;j) = c_{a,l}^k(n-2)$ for $1 \leq j \leq a-1$ or $n-k+a+1 \leq j \leq n$ (see Lemma 2.1), and with $a+l > a$ we have

$$c_{a,l}^k(n;n-k+a) = (k-l-1)c_{a,l}^k(n-2) + c_{a,l}^k(n-1) - (a-1+k-a-l+1)c_{a,l}^k(n-2),$$

equivalently

$$c_{a,l}^k(n;n-k+a) = c_{a,l}^k(n-1) - c_{a,l}^k(n-2).$$

Therefore the proposition holds for $i=1$.

Now, let $i \geq 2$; using Lemma 2.1 we obtain for all $a+1 \leq m \leq n-k+a$

$$c_{a,l}^{k}(n;m-1) = c_{a,l}^{k}(n;m) - c_{a,l}^{k}(n-1;m+l-2),$$

and by means of induction on i with employing the familiar identity

$$\binom{0}{s} + \binom{1}{s} + \binom{2}{s} + \cdots + \binom{t}{s} = \binom{t+1}{s+1}, \qquad (**)$$

we get the desired result. □

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.4. *For all $n \geq k$*

$$\begin{aligned} c_{a,l}^{k}(n) = (k-l-1)c_{a,l}^{k}(n-1)+ \\ + \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (n-k)/l \rfloor +1} (-1)^{j} \tbinom{n-k+2-(j-1)(l-1)}{j+1} c_{a,l}^{k}(n-1-j). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 yield for all $n \geq k$

$$c_{a,l}^{k}(n) = (k-1)c_{a,l}^{k}(n-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (i-1)/l \rfloor +1} (-1)^{j} \binom{i-(j-1)(l-1)}{j} c_{a,l}^{k}(n-1-j).$$

Again, employing the familiar identity $(**)$ we get the desired result. □

Example 2.5. (see Claesson and Mansour [CM1] for $k=3$) *Theorem 2.4 yields for $l=1$*

$$(k-2)c_{a,1}^{k}(n-1) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k+2} (-1)^{n-k+2-j} \binom{n-k+2}{j} c_{a,1}^{k}(j+k-2).$$

Using [CM2, Lem. 7] *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (k-2)uC_{a,1}^{k}(u) = (1+x)^{k-3} \left[C_{a,1}^{k}\left(\tfrac{u}{1+u}\right) - 1 \right] + \\ \sum_{j=1}^{k-3} (j-1)! u^{j} (k-2-j(1+u)^{k-3-j}), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{a,1}^{k}(x)$ is the ordinary generating function for the sequence $\{c_{a,1}^{k}(n)\}_{n\geq 0}$, hence by putting $u = x/(1-x)$ we get

$$C_{a,1}^{k}(x) = 1+(k-2)x(1-x)^{k-4} C_{a,1}^{k}\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-3} (j-1)! x^{j} (j-(k-2)(1-x)^{k-3-j}).$$

An infinite number of applications of this identity we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{a,1}^{k}(x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \tfrac{(k-2)^{n} x^{n} (1-nx)^{k-4}}{(1-x)(1-2x)\ldots(1-(n-1)x)} + \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{k-3} \sum_{n\geq 0} \tfrac{j!(k-2)^{n} x^{j+n} (1-nx)^{k-4-j}}{(1-x)(1-2x)\cdots(1-(n-1)x)} + \\ + \sum_{j=0}^{k-4} \sum_{n\geq 0} \tfrac{j!(k-2)^{n+1} x^{j+n+1} (1-(n+1)x)^{k-4-j}}{(1-x)(1-2x)\cdots(1-nx)}. \end{aligned}$$

An example, for $k=3$ we get

$$C_{1,1}^{3}(x) = C_{2,1}^{3}(x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{x^{n}}{(1-x)(1-2x)\cdots(1-(n+1)x)}.$$

In view of Theorem 2.4 we get the number of $C^k_{1,l}$-avoiding permutations in S_n is the same number of $C^k_{a,l}$-avoiding permutations in S_n where $a = 1, 2, \ldots, k-l$. Our proof in Theorem 2.4 is an analytical proof. It is a challenge to find a bijective proof for this property.

To put Theorem 2.4 in the form of ordinary generating function let us define as follows. Let $l \geq 2$, we define for all $n \geq 0$,

$$F^l_n(x) = \sum_{m\geq 0} (-1)^j \binom{n-(l-2)j}{j} x^j.$$

For example, $F^2_n(x) = (1-x)^n$.

From now we assume that $l \geq 2$. If multiplying the recurrence in the statement of Theorem 2.4 by x^n and summing over all $n \geq k$, then

$$(k-l-1)\sum_{n\geq k} c^k_{a,l}(n-1)x^n = \sum_{n\geq k}\sum_{j=0}^{n+1}(-1)^j\tbinom{n-k+2-(j-1)(l-1)}{j+1}c^k_{a,l}(n-1-j)x^n,$$

equivalently,

Theorem 2.6. *Let $l \geq 2$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} x(k-1-l)\sum_{n\geq k-1} c^k_{a,l}(n)x^n &= (k-1)!(F^l_{2l-1}(x)-1)x^{k-1}+ \\ &+(k-2)!(F^l_{2l-2}(x)-1+(2l-2)x)x^{k-2}+\sum_{n\geq k} c^k_{a,l}(n)F^l_{n-k+2l}(x)x^n. \end{aligned}$$

For example (see Claesson [C]), for $l = 2$ and $k = 3$ Theorem 2.6 yields $c^3_{1,2}(n)$ is given by the nth Catalan number; that is, the number of permutations in $S_n(13\text{-}2)$ is $\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}$.

3. $P^k_{a,l}$-AVOIDING

Let $p^k_{a,l}(n)$ be the number $P^k_{a,l}$-avoiding permutations in S_n. Our present aim is to count this number, since that we introduce another notation. We denote by $p^k_{a,l}(n; i_1, \ldots, i_m)$ the number $P^k_{a,l}$-avoiding permutations $\pi \in S_n$ such that $\pi_1 \ldots \pi_m = i_1 \ldots i_m$. Now we introduce the quantity that play the crucial role to find $p^k_{a,l}(n)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $a \leq i_j \leq n-k+l+a-1$ for any $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.*

(i) *If $0 \leq m \leq l-1$, then $p^k_{a,l}(n; i_1, \ldots, i_m, j) = p^k_{a,l}(n-m-1)$ where either $1 \leq j \leq a-1$, or $n-k+l+a \leq j \leq n$;*

(ii) *If $m = l$, then $p^k_{a,l}(n; i_1, \ldots, i_l) = 0$;*

(iii) *For all i, $p^k_{a,l}(n; \ldots, i, \ldots, i, \ldots) = 0$.*

Proof. (iii) yields immediately by definitions. To verify (i) let $\pi \in S_n$ a permutations such that $\pi_j = i_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, and $\pi_{m+1} = j$. Let $\tau \in P^k_{a,l}$ and let $(\pi_{a_1}, \ldots, \pi_{a_k})$ order-isomorphic to τ. Since $m \leq l-1$, and either $j \leq a-1$ or $j \geq n-k+l+a$ we get $a_1 > m+1$. Therefore, $p^k_{a,l}(n; i_1, \ldots, i_m, j)$ is equal to the number of $P^k_{a,l}$-avoiding permutations on the letters $1, 2, \ldots, n$ without the letters $i_1, \ldots, i_m, j$. Hence (i) holds.

To verify (ii) let $\pi \in S_n$ a permutation such that $\pi_j = i_j$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, l$, so there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_{k-l}$ positions such that $(\pi_{i_1}, \ldots, \pi_{i_l}, \pi_{a_1}, \ldots, \pi_{a_{k-l}})$ is order-isomorphic to τ where $\tau \in P^k_{a,l}$. Hence $p^k_{a,l}(n; i_1, \ldots, i_l) = 0$. ☐

An application of the above lemma we find the exponential generating function for the sequence $\{p^k_{a,l}(n)\}_{n\geq 0}$ as follows.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $k, l, a \geq 1$ and $1 \leq a + l - 1 \leq k$. Then*

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} p^k_{a,l}(n)\frac{x^n}{n!} = \underbrace{\int\int\cdots\int}_{(k-l-1)-times} e^{(k-l)(x/1+x^2/2+\cdots+x^l/l)}dx\cdots dx.$$

Proof. By definitions we have

$$p^k_{a,l}(n) = \sum_{i_1=1}^{n} p^k_{a,l}(n; i_1).$$

Using Lemma 3.1(i) for $m = 0$ we get

$$p^k_{a,l}(n) = (k-l)p^k_{a,l}(n-1) + \sum_{i_1=a}^{n-k+l+a-1} p^k_{a,l}(n; i_1).$$

Now, let us assume for $m \geq 2$

$$p^k_{a,l}(n) - (k-l)\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} j!\binom{n-k+l}{j} p^k_{a,l}(n-1-j) = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_m=1}^{n-k+l+a-1} p^k_{a,l}(n; i_1, \ldots, i_m),$$

so by definitions we have

$$\begin{aligned} p^k_{a,l}(n) - (k-l)\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} j!\tbinom{n-k+l}{j} p^k_{a,l}(n-1-j) &= \\ = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_m=1}^{n-k+l+a-1}\ \sum_{i_{m+1}=1}^{n} p^k_{a,l}(n; i_1, \ldots, i_m, i_{m+1}), \end{aligned}$$

equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} &p^k_{a,l}(n) - (k-l)\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} j!\tbinom{n-k+l}{j} p^k_{a,l}(n-1-j) = \\ &= \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_m+1=1}^{n-k+l+a-1} p^k_{a,l}(n; i_1, \ldots, i_m, i_{m+1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1}\ \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_m=1}^{n-k+l+a-1} p^k_{a,l}(n; i_1, \ldots, i_m, j) + \\ &+ \sum_{j=n-k+l+a}^{n}\ \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_m=1}^{n-k+l+a-1} p^k_{a,l}(n; i_1, \ldots, i_m, j). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.1(i)-(iii) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &p^k_{a,l}(n) - (k-l)\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} j!\tbinom{n-k+l}{j} p^k_{a,l}(n-1-j) = \\ &= \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_m+1=1}^{n-k+l+a-1} p^k_{a,l}(n; i_1, \ldots, i_m, i_{m+1}) + (k-l)m!\tbinom{n-k+l}{m} p^k_{a,l}(n-1-m). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the principle of induction with $m = l$ with Lemma 3.1 we get for all $n \geq 1$

$$p^k_{a,l}(n) = (k-l)\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} j!\binom{n-k+l}{j} p^k_{a,l}(n-1-j).$$

Let $f(x)=\sum_{n\geq 0}p_{a,l}^k(n)x^n/n!$; if multiplying by $x^n/(n-k+l)!$ and summing over all $n\geq 1$ we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{k-l}}{\mathrm{d}x^{k-l}}f(x)=(k-l)\sum_{j=0}^{l-1}x^j\frac{\mathrm{d}^{k-l-1}}{\mathrm{d}x^{k-l-1}}f(x),$$

hence

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{k-l-1}}{\mathrm{d}x^{k-l-1}}f(x)=e^{(k-1)(x/1+x^2/2+\cdots+x^l/l)},$$

as required. □

Again, in view of Theorem 3.2 it is a challenge to find a bijective proof for the number of $P_{1,l}^k$-avoiding permutations in S_n is the same number of $P_{a,l}^k$-avoiding permutations in S_n, for all $1\leq a\leq k-l+1$.

Example 3.3. (see Mansour [M1]) *Theorem 3.2 for $l=1$ yields for $n\geq k$*

$$p_{a,1}^k(n)=(k-1)p_{a,1}^k(n-1),$$

with $p_{a,1}^k(k)=k!-(k-1)!$, hence $p_{a,1}^k(n)=(k-2)!(k-1)^{n-k+2}$ for all $n\geq k-1$.

Example 3.4. (see Claesson and Mansour [CM1] for $k=3$) *Theorem 3.2 for $l=k-1$ yields for $n\geq k$*

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}p_{a;k-1}^k(n)\frac{x^n}{n!}=e^{x/1+x^2/2+\cdots+x^{k-1}/(k-1)}.$$

In particular, for $k=3$ yields the exponential generating function for the number of permutations in $S_n(12\text{-}3,21\text{-}3)$ (or in $S_n(23\text{-}1,32\text{-}1)$) is given by $e^{x+x^2/2}$.

Again, as a remark, according to the main results (Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 3.2), $C_{a,l}^k(x)$ and $P_{a,l}^k$ does not depend on a; in other words, $|S_n(C_{1,l}^k)|=|S_n(C_{a,l}^k)|$ and $|S_n(P_{1,l}^k)|=|S_n(P_{a,l}^k)|$ for any a. We obtained this fact as a consequence of lengthy computations. A natural question would be to find a bijection between $S_n(C_{1,l}^k)$ (respectively, $S_n(P_{1,l}^k)$) and $S_n(C_{a,l}^k)$ (respectively, $S_n(P_{a,l}^k)$) that explains this phenomenon.

REFERENCES

[AR] R. Adin and Yu. Roichman, Shape avoiding permutations,

[BS] E. Babson and E. Steingrímsson, Generalized permutation patterns and a classification of the Mahonian statistics, *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, **44**:Art. B44b, 18 pp. (electronic), 2000.

[BDPP] E. Barcucci, A. Del Lungo, E. Pergola, and R. Pinzani, Permutations avoiding an increasing number of length-increasing forbidden subsequences, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* **4**, (2000), 31–44.

[C] A. Claesson, Generalized pattern avoidance, *European J. Combin.*, **22**(7):961–971, 2001.

[CM1] A. Cleasson and T. Mansour, Permutations avoiding a pair of generalized patterns of length three with exactly one dash, preprint CO/0107044.

[CM2] A. Cleasson and T. Mansour, Counting occurrences of a pattern of type $(1,2)$ or $(2,1)$ in permutations, *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, to appear (2002), preprint CO/0110036.

[F] P. Flajolet, Combinatorial aspects of continued fractions, *Ann. of Discr. Math.* **8** (1980), 217–222.

[FZ] D. Foata and D. Zeilberger, Laguerre polynomials, weighted derangements, and positivity, *SIAM J. Discr. Math.* **1**, (1988), 425–433.

[IL] M. Ismail and X. Li, Bounds on the extreme zeros of orthogonal polynomials, *Proc. AMS* **115**, (1992), 131–140.

[Kn] D. Knuth, The Art of Computer Programming, vol. **1**, Addison Wesley, Reading, MA 1968.

[Kr] D. Kremer, Permutations with forbidden subsequences and a generalized Schröder number, *Discr. Math.* **218** (2000) 121–130.

[LS] V. Lakshmibai and B. Sandhya, Criterion for smoothness of Schubert varieties in $\mathrm{Sl}(n)/B$ *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* **100:1** (1990), 45–52.

[M1] T. Mansour, Permutations containing and avoiding certain patterns, *Proc. 12th Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics* (Moscow, 2000), (2000).

[M2] T. Mansour, Permutations with forbidden patterns, *Ph.D-Thesis, University Haifa* (2001).

[MV] T. Mansour and A. Vainshtein, Avoiding maximal parabolic subgroups of S_k, *Disc. Math. and Theor. Comp. Sci.* **4** (2000), 67–77.

[R] J. Riordan, An introduction to combinatorial analysis, Wiley, New York (1967).

[SS] R. Simion and F. Schmidt, Restricted permutations, *Europ. J. Comb.* **6** (1985), 383–406.

[St] R. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, vol. **1**, **2**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997), (1999).